

CORRECTED LETTER

June 23, 2017

Mr. Whitney Yamamura, Interim President
Sacramento City College
3835 Freeport Boulevard
Sacramento, CA 95822

Dear President Yamamura:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting June 7-9, 2017, reviewed the Follow-Up Report and evidentiary materials submitted by Sacramento City College. College leadership, including the president of the governing board, and the College president, certified the Report. The purpose of the Commission's review was to determine whether the College resolved deficiencies noted during the fall 2015 comprehensive review and meets Eligibility Requirements, Accreditation Standards, and Commission policies (hereafter called Standards).

After considering the material noted above, the Commission finds that Sacramento City College has resolved the deficiencies and meets Standards II.A.6, II.B.1, II.B.3.a, II.C.1, II.C.1.c, III.C.2, IV.B.1.j, IV.B.2, and IV.B.3.e and Eligibility Recommendation 16 that were identified in College Recommendations 1 and 2 and District Recommendations 1, 2, and 3. The Commission acted to **Reaffirm accreditation** for the remainder of the six-year accreditation cycle. The next report from Sacramento City College is the Midterm Report due in **fall 2018**.¹

The Commission requires that the College give the Follow-Up Report and this letter appropriate dissemination to college staff and to those who were signatories of the Report and to make these documents available to all campus constituencies and to the public by posting them on the College website. Please note that in response to public interest in accreditation, the Commission requires institutions to post accreditation information on a page no more than one click from the institution's home page.


On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express appreciation for the work that Sacramento City College undertook to prepare the Follow-Up Report. Thank you for sharing the values and the work of accreditation to ensure educational quality and to support student success. Accreditation and peer review are most effective when the College and the ACCJC work together to focus on student outcomes and continuous quality improvement in higher education.

¹ Institutions preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-Up Reports, and Special Reports to the Commission should review *Guidelines for the Preparation of Reports to the Commission*, found on the ACCJC website at: <http://accjc.org/college-reports-to-accjc/>.

Mr. Whitney Yamamura
Sacramento City College
June 23, 2017

If you should have any questions concerning this letter or the Commission action, please contact me or one of the ACCJC Vice Presidents. We would be glad to help you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard Winn", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Richard Winn, Ed.D.
Interim President

RW/tl